Understanding the emissivity variations

Déborah Paradis Post-doc, IRAP (Toulouse)

<u>Collaborators:</u> Bernard, J.P., de Bernardis, P., Calzoletti, L., Faustini, F., Gromov, V., Martin, P., Masi, S., Mény, C., Molinari, S., Montier, L., Natoli, P., Noriega-Crespo, A., Paladini, R., Piacentini, F., Ristorcelli, I., Thompson, M. A., Traficante, A., Veneziani, M., BG emissivity

$$I_{v}(\lambda) = Q_{abs}(\lambda_{0}) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{0}}\right)^{-\beta} B_{v}(T_{eq},\lambda) N_{H}$$

emissivity = τ/N_{H}

 β : spectral index Q_{abs} : absorption efficiency $B_{v}(T,\lambda)$: Planck function N_{H} : column density

Conventionally admitted : $\beta = 2$

But recent observations:

FIRAS (COBE), PRONAOS (200-600 μm) et ARCHEOPS (550-3000 μm)

 $\beta \neq 2$ and varies with T and λ



Laboratory Data: ESPOIRS Project



Coupeaud et al. A&A, 2011, submitted

Most dust (98%) in ISM is amorphous (Kemper 2004)

Laboratory measurements of dust analog materials show that the internal structure of the grain (amorphous vs crystalline) affects the emissivity shape

- Emissivity spectrum changes at long wavelengths (from FIR to submm)

- Emissivity spectrum flattens with temperature (decrease of β with T)

Evolution of dust properties from diffuse to dense medium



Emissivity SEDs in HI and CO are almost // and flatten in the submm (λ >550 µm) =>We can assume that grains have evolved in a similar way in the 2 phases (with similar Xisrf)

Evolution of dust properties from diffuse to dense medium



Evolution of dust properties from diffuse to dense medium



> 98% of amorphous dust in the ISM but no model takes into account this evidence!

▶ Double description of disorder in amorphous solids: TLS model Mény et al., 2007

• Disordered Charge Distribution (DCD): interaction between the electromagnetic wave and acoustic oscillations in the disordered charge of the amorphous material (Vinogradov, 1960; Schlomann, 1964) => T-independent, dominates the FIR



• Two Level System (TLS): interaction of the electromagnetic wave with a simple distribution of asymmetric double-well potential => T-dependent, dominate the submm/mm

> 98% of amorphous dust in the ISM but no model takes into account this evidence!

Double description of disorder in amorphous solids: TLS model Mény et al., 2007

• Disordered Charge Distribution (DCD): interaction between the electromagnetic wave and acoustic oscillations in the disordered charge of the amorphous material (Vinogradov, 1960;

- Sch Parameters of the TLS model:
 - Dust temperature : Td
 - Correlation length : lc
 - intensity of the TLS processes with respect to the DCD part : A

• Two Level System (TLS): interaction of the electromagnetic wave with a simple distribution of asymmetric double-well potential => T-dependent, dominate the submm/mm



Déborah Paradis, Sf2A, Paris, june 2011





Déborah Paradis, From Dust to Galaxies, Paris, june 2011





Emissivity spectra flatten with T and λ

=> Therefore, when assuming no dependency of the emissivity spectrum with λ nor T, one can significantly bias the mass estimate, especially when derived from submm/mm data and extrapolated to shorter wavelengths.

Paradis, Bernard, Mény, & Gromov, 2011c, A&A submitted



Paradis, Veneziani and the Hi-GAL team, 2010, A&A, 520, 8

 \Rightarrow Results have been checked using 2 independent methods

 \Rightarrow T- β anti-correlation in the inner GP, already highlighted in external regions and in the solar neighborhood (Dupac et al. 2003, Désert et al., 2008, Veneziani et al., 2010)

 \Rightarrow Different T- β trend with respect to previous observations based on BOOMERanG, Archeops and Pronaos, probably because of different dust properties in the GP

IRAS+Hershel => 4' resolution 0.1 -0.1 0.3 0 0.2 + GLAT excess 360 30 330 60 300 GLON 5×10 - 500 µm emissivity excess in the outer parts of the GP, as compared to a power law in λ^{-2} , that can 4×10⁴ represent 15-20% of the emissivity Nb of pixels - median value of the excess along the GP : 2% 3×10' - no excess in the Galactic Center - most likely related to the flattening of the spectra 2×10 observed in the submm/mm (Reach et al., 1995; Finkbeiner et al., 1999; Paradis et al., 2009, Planck 1×10^{4} Collaboration, 2011u) 0 -0.10.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 -0.2excess

Paradis, et al., 2011d, A&A in preparation



3.9±0.1

 5.8 ± 0.1

- *A* larger in the GP compared to high latitudes and CS => Following the TLS model, grains in the GP could be characterized by a degree of amorphization more important in the GP, and the degree or amorphization increases in outer parts.

Paradis, et al., 2011d, A&A in preparation

 17.3 ± 0.02

 5.1 ± 0.1

 13.4 ± 1.5

Arch. CS

FIRAS & Arch



Paradis, et al., 2011d, A&A in preparation

- A larger in the GP compared to high latitudes and CS => Following the TLS model, grains in the GP could be characterized by a degree of amorphization more important in the GP, and the degree or amorphization increases in outer parts.



Using the TLS model and a modified black-body fit we have plotted the emissivity spectra in 5 bins in temperature

 \Rightarrow same trend with both models:

flattening of the spectra with the increasing dust temperature

Paradis, et al., 2011d, A&A in preparation

Composite radiation field components along the LOS



→ Each pixel of the map has now an emission that incorporates a temperaturemixing along the LOS

- The SEDs of the most diffuse part of the GP (outer parts essentially) are not well reproduced by the Dale et al. (2001) model (a temperature mixture is probably less important than in the inner arms of the Galaxy)

- We have selected only pixels with good $\chi 2$

Paradis, et al., 2011d, A&A in preparation



We then fit the simulated data which incorporate a T-mixing, with the usual models (single T)

 \rightarrow we do not see any significant variations of the emissivity with T, whatever the model used

 \rightarrow the temperature mixing is not likely to be responsible of the observed emissivity trend with temperature

Paradis, et al., 2011d, A&A in preparation

✓Understanding emission of the BG dust component and especially its variation with λ and T is an important aspect of component separation.

✓ Comparison between the TLS model and astrophysical/laboratory data allow us now to deduce informations on the amorphous state of the grain itself

✓ Extrapolating emissivity spectrum from the submm/mm to the FIR with β =2 and without any dependency with T can induce important errors on the emissivity and therefore on mass estimates

✓ Dust properties seem to vary with environment : diffuse / dense medium, high latitudes / along the Galactic Plane, in the inner parts / outer parts of the GP ...