How to detect GWs

Measure proper (i.e. physical) distance between two free-falling test masses

• Each test mass follows geodesics

Consider flat spacetime + GW (TT perturbation)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{d x^{\alpha}}{d\tau} \frac{d x^{\beta}}{d\tau} = 0 \\ \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} &= -(\Gamma^i_{tt} + 2\Gamma^i_{tj}v^j + \Gamma^i_{jk}v^jv^k) + v^i(\Gamma^t_{tt} + 2\Gamma^t_{tj}v^j + \Gamma^t_{jk}v^jv^k) \end{aligned}$$

$$v^{i} = d x^{i} / d t \ll 1$$
 $u \ll 1$ $u \ll 1$

Coordinate positions of test massive unaffected, but how about proper distance?

How to detect GWs

GW in z direction, test masses at x=0, y=0 and x=L_c, y=0

$$L = \int_{0}^{L_{c}} dx \sqrt{g_{xx}} = \int_{0}^{L_{c}} dx \sqrt{1 + h_{xx}^{\text{TT}}(t, z = 0)}$$
$$\simeq L_{c} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} h_{xx}^{\text{TT}}(t, z = 0) \right]$$

 $\frac{\delta L}{L} \simeq \frac{1}{2} h_{xx}^{\text{TT}}(t, z = 0)$ Measurable effect!

A better derivation

Locally flat coordinates

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + d\mathbf{x}^{2} + O\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^{2}}{\mathcal{R}^{2}}\right)$$

- Geodesics at $x^i = 0$ and $x^i = L^i(t)$
- Proper distance is $\sqrt{L^i L_i}$ up to errors $\sim h L^2 / \lambda^2 \ll 1$ (NB *L* is Fabry-Perot cavity length for terrestrial detectors)
- Separation vector L^{μ} between two geodesics obeys geodesic deviation equation $D^2 L^{\mu}$

$$\frac{D^2 L^{\mu}}{d \tau^2} = R^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} L^{\gamma}$$

• With
$$u^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu}_t$$
 and $L^{\mu} = (0, L^i)$ $\frac{d^2 L^i(t)}{dt^2} = -R_{itjt}(t, \mathbf{0})L^j(t)$

A better derivation



More formally, let's show this from field equations on the board

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \Theta &= -8\pi\rho , & \nabla^2 S = \dot{\rho} , & T_{tt} = \rho , \\ \nabla^2 \Phi &= 4\pi \left(\rho + 3P - 3\dot{S} \right) & \nabla^2 \sigma = -\frac{3}{2}P + \frac{3}{2}\dot{S} , & T_{ti} = S_i + \partial_i S , \\ \nabla^2 \Xi_i &= -16\pi S_i , & \nabla^2 \sigma_i = 2\dot{S}_i . & T_{ij} = P\delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij} + \partial_{(i}\sigma_{j)} + \left(\partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij} \nabla^2 \right) \sigma , \end{aligned}$$

A back-of-the-envelope derivation of the quadrupole formula

Moments of mass and current distributions:

$$M_0 \equiv \int \rho \, d^3x = M \qquad S_1 \equiv \int \rho v_j \, x_k \, \epsilon_{ijk} \, d^3x = S_i$$

$$M_1 \equiv \int \rho \, x_i \, d^3 x = M L_i$$

$$M_2 \equiv \int \rho \, x_i \, x_j \, d^3 x = M L_{ij}$$



Conservation of mass



Conservation of angular momentum



Conservation of linear momentum

 $h \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{M_2}{r}$



Beyond GR: more polarizations?

Similar decomposition of Riemann tensor in vacuum via Newman-Penrose scalars

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{2}(u) &= -\frac{1}{6} R_{z0z0}(u) ,\\ \Psi_{3}(u) &= -\frac{1}{2} R_{x0z0} + \frac{1}{2} i R_{y0z0} ,\\ \Psi_{4}(u) &= -R_{x0x0} + R_{y0y0} + 2i R_{x0y0} ,\\ \Phi_{22}(u) &= -R_{x0x0} - R_{y0y0} . \end{split}$$

$$\Psi_2(u)$$
 (s = 0), $\Phi_{22}(u)$ (s = 0)
 $\Psi_3(u)$ (s = ± 1), $\Psi_4(u)$ (s = ± 2)

Figures from Eardley, Lee and Lightman 1973







 $(d) \qquad \Psi_2 \qquad (e) \qquad Re \Psi_3 \qquad (f) \qquad Im \Psi_3$

 $h \sim \frac{1}{R} \frac{d}{dt} (m_{\text{GW},1} \mathbf{x}_1 + m_{\text{GW},2} \mathbf{x}_2) \sim \frac{\eta m}{R} \mathbf{v} \left(\frac{m_{\text{GW},1}}{m_{\text{I},1}} - \frac{m_{\text{GW},2}}{m_{\text{I},2}} \right)$

e.g. Dipolar emission if equivalence principle is violated (Brans-Dicke, scalar tensor theories, etc)

A real detector: frequencies and not distances

- Detectors are laser interferometers
- Photons acculumulate phase change $\delta \phi = 2\pi \delta L/\lambda$ when proper distance between "mirrors" change

Analysis valid for $L << \lambda$

 More in general, we can integrate photon geodesics between mirrors; photon frequency will change due to GW and produce phase change

$$\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\cos\theta)\Psi(t) - \cos\theta\Psi(t+\tau(1-\cos\theta)/2) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\cos\theta)\Psi(t+\tau),$$

$$\cos\theta \equiv \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \qquad \Delta\Phi = 2\pi \int_0^t \Delta\nu(t')dt' \qquad \text{Estabrook \& Wahlquist 1975}$$

$$\Psi(t) \equiv \frac{h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}\sigma^i\sigma^j}{\sin^2\theta} \qquad \tau = \text{round-trip laser travel time between mirrors}$$

 τ = round-trip laser travel time between mirrors σ and n = propagation directions of laser and GW

The detector transfer function

 Exercise: from the shift in the laser frequency, show that a monochromatic GW with frequency *f* propagating orthogonally to the detector causes an effective change in each detector arm, given by

$$\delta L = h_+ \frac{L}{2} \frac{\sin(\pi f \tau)}{\pi f \tau} = h_+ \frac{L}{2} \frac{\sin(\pi f / \Lambda)}{\pi f / \Lambda}$$

 This transfer function T(f) explains why the sensitivity of GW interferometers worsens linearly with f at high frequencies

The detector response

At least when $L << \lambda$ (i.e. $T(f) \sim 1$) an interferometer measures

$$\begin{split} h(t) &= \frac{1}{2} (h_{ij} u^i u^j - h_{ij} v^i v^j) \\ &= D^{ij} h_{ij}(t) = F_+ h_+(t) + F_\times h_\times(t) \\ D^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(u^i u^j - v^i v^j \right) \quad \text{Detector tensor} \\ F_+ \text{,} F_\times \quad \text{Beam pattern functions} \end{split}$$

Pattern functions

Exercise: derive pattern functions for detectors at 90 and 60 degrees, and plot them

$$F_{+}^{(90^{\circ})} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \cos^2 \theta \right) \cos 2\phi \cos 2\psi - \cos \theta \sin 2\phi \sin 2\psi,$$

$$F_{\times}^{(90^{\circ})} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \cos^2 \theta \right) \cos 2\phi \sin 2\psi + \cos \theta \sin 2\phi \cos 2\psi.$$

$$F_{+}^{(60^{\circ})} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \cos 2\phi \cos 2\psi - \cos \theta \sin 2\phi \sin 2\psi \right],$$
$$F_{\times}^{(60^{\circ})} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \cos 2\phi \sin 2\psi + \cos \theta \sin 2\phi \cos 2\psi \right].$$